

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,541	07/22/2003	Tsuyoshi Shibata	01272.020609.	4875
5514	7590 01/04/2008	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			HUFFMAN, JULIAN D	
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A U C Al	A walls and a	-			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	U			
Office Action Summary		10/623,541	SHIBATA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Julian D. Huffman	2853				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed The reply be timely filed.				
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	October 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 4-7 and 15-18 is/are pending in the	application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withday	rawn from consideration.		,			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 4-7 and 15-18 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P10-15.	۷.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume			۵			
	application from the International Bure		in telegraphic in this reasonal orage	•			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a l		ot received.				
		·					
Attachmei	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application				
Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·				

10/623,541 Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 31 October 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Silverbrook (U.S. 6,575,549 B1).

Silverbrook discloses:

With regards to claim 7, Silverbrook discloses an inkjet printing method using a printing head (fig. 1) having a plurality of nozzles (A-N) capable of ejecting ink for printing an image by ejecting ink based on printing data which instructs ejection or non-ejection of ink, the plurality of nozzles being aligned next to each other along a

10/623,541 Art Unit: 2853

predetermined direction, the printing head being driven based on the printing data to eject ink, wherein

the printing data corresponding to an abnormal nozzle (nozzle h) malfunctioning in ink-ejection is added to the printing data corresponding to a neighboring nozzle of the abnormal nozzle (nozzles G or I, fig. 3, column 3, lines 2-12),

when an N-th nozzle of the plurality of nozzles is an abnormal nozzle, a neighboring printing area neighboring a printing area to be printed by the N-th abnormal nozzle is printed using an (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and an (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle (where N and M are positive integers) arranged in the neighborhood of the N-th abnormal nozzle based on the printing data corresponding to the N-th abnormal nozzle (column 3, lines 5-12, for a given print area, nozzles G and I on either side of nozzle H take turns printing the data of nozzle H, if nozzle H has print data), and

when the printing data corresponding to the N-th abnormal nozzle is added to that corresponding to the (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and the (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle, a driving frequency for ejecting ink from the (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and the (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle is increased by 2 times, the driving frequency being a frequency for driving the printing head to eject ink in performing the printing (compare figs. 2 and 3, further since the abnormal nozzle may not eject ink at all, printing using the neighboring nozzles increases the driving frequency of ejection of ink).

Concerning claim 18, as evident from the above discussion, Silverbrook also discloses an apparatus with structure executing the above method.

Claims 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. 6,481,816 B1 to Oyen.

Oyen discloses:

With regards to claims 7 and 18, an inkjet printing method and apparatus using a printing head (fig. 1, element 3) having a plurality of nozzles (7) capable of ejecting ink for printing an image by ejecting ink based on printing data which instructs ejection or non-ejection of ink, the plurality of nozzles being aligned next to each other along a predetermined direction (fig. 1), the printing head being driven based on the printing data to eject ink comprising:

compensation means (fig. 2, element 14) for/step of adding the printing data corresponding to an abnormal nozzle malfunctioning in ink-ejection to the printing data corresponding to a neighboring nozzle of the abnormal nozzle (abstract);

when an N-th nozzle of the plurality of nozzles is an abnormal nozzle, a neighboring printing area neighboring a printing area to be printed by the N-th abnormal nozzle is printed by using an (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and an (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle (where N and M are positive integers) arranged in the neighborhood of the N-th abnormal nozzle based on the printing data corresponding to the N-th abnormal nozzle (figs. 5a-5d, column 6, lines 2-25), and

when the printing data corresponding to the N-th abnormal nozzle is added to that corresponding to the (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and the (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle, a driving frequency for ejecting ink from the (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and the (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle is increased by 2 times, the drive frequency being a

10/623,541 Art Unit: 2853

frequency for driving the printing head to eject ink in performing the printing (compare figs. 5b and 5c).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oyen in view of Bland (6,278,469).

Oyen discloses:

With regards to claims 4 and 15, an inkjet printing method and apparatus using a printing head (fig. 1, element 3) having a plurality of nozzles (7) capable of ejecting ink for printing an image by ejecting ink based on printing data which instructs ejection or non-ejection of ink, the plurality of nozzles being aligned next to each other along a predetermined direction, the image being completely printed in a predetermined area of a print medium by a single movement of the printing head relative to the printing medium while ink is ejected from the nozzles of the printing head based on the printing data (column 4, lines 45-49, column 5, lines 23-29), comprising,

10/623,541 Art Unit: 2853

compensation means (fig. 2, element 14) for/step of adding the printing data corresponding to an abnormal nozzle malfunctioning in ink-ejection to the printing data corresponding to a neighboring nozzle of the abnormal nozzle (abstract),

when an N-th nozzle of the plurality of nozzles is an abnormal nozzle, a neighboring printing area neighboring a printing area to be printed by the N-th abnormal nozzle is printed by using an (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and an (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle (where N and M are positive integers) arranged in the neighborhood of the nozzle based on the printing data corresponding to the N-th abnormal nozzle,

the printing data corresponding to the N-th abnormal nozzle is added to the printing data corresponding to the (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and the (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle (figs. 5a-5d, column 6, lines 2-25).

Oyen discloses that the amount of ink deposited by the neighboring nozzles may be adjusted (column 6, lines 18-25).

Oyen does not expressly disclose compensation means for causing the printer to perform the step of adjusting the ratio of the printing data corresponding to the N-th abnormal nozzle to be added to the printing data corresponding to the (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and the (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle based on landing states of the (N-M)-th neighboring nozzle and the (N+M)-th neighboring nozzle, the states obtained based on information regarding the landing position and diameter of the neighboring nozzle as obtained from a test print, such that when one of the neighboring nozzles has a better landing state than the other, the ratio of the printing data to be added to the better neighboring nozzle is higher than that of the other neighboring nozzle.

Art Unit: 2853

Bland et al. discloses performing a test print on print medium, determining the landing states, including landing position and diameter information, of the nozzles, and adjusting the ratio of ink deposited based on the states such that more ink is deposited to nozzles with good landing states than nozzles with poor landing states (abstract, more ink from higher quality nozzles and less ink from lower quality nozzles). Further, Bland et al. teach that the technique may be applied to any ink jet printer (column 11, line 67).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide compensation means in Oyen which causes the printer to perform the step of adjusting the ratio of printing data based on landing states of the nozzles, as suggested by Bland, for the purpose of improving print quality without reducing throughput.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 31 October 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Silverbrook and Oyen does not increase the driving frequency of neighboring nozzles. However, since the driving data of a malfunctioning nozzle is transferred to a neighboring nozzle, the neighboring nozzle is driven at least twice more frequently than it was driven previously prior to correction.

Applicant argues that Bland does not disclose determining the higher quality nozzles to being used for compensating based on a landing state of the higher quality nozzles. However, Bland states that "the printer provides a sensor which can test the

10/623,541 Art Unit: 2853

ink drop output of the printhead nozzles to determine... which nozzles are of higher print quality and which are of lower print quality" (abstract).

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10/623,541

Art Unit: 2853

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian D. Huffman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2147. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00a.m.-6:30p.m. Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Julian D. Huffman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

1/3/08